

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 03731 111933Z

20

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07

IO-11 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01

SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 DODE-00

NSC-05 /090 W

----- 094408

R 111652Z MAR 76

FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1065

INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY BONN

/AMEMBASSY LONDON 1348

USMISSION NATO

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

CONFIDENTIAL MOSCOW 3731

E.O. 11652: XGDS-3

TAGS: PARM, NATO, UR, US

SUBJECT: MFA OFFICIALS ON MBFR

1. SUMMARY: MFA OFFICIALS IN THEIR CONVERSATIONS HERE

CONTINUE TO PAINT THE NEW EASTERN PROPOSAL IN VIENNA IN COLORS
BEST SUITED TO MAKE IT ATTRACTIVE TO THE WEST. TWO SEPARATE
OFFICIALS IN POSITIONS TO BE WELL INFORMED ON THE INTRICACIES
OF MBFR HAVE EMPHASIZED THE CONCESSIONS THE EAST HAS MADE IN
THE PHASING APPROACH EMBODIED IN THE NEW PROPOSAL, MINIMIZING
THE STRINGENCY OF THE CONTINUING EASTERN REQUIREMENT FOR
REDUCTION COMMITMENTS BY NON-U.S. DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN THE
FIRST STAGE. ONE OFFICIAL ALSO SUGGESTED THAT A "COMPOSITE
APPROACH"--I.E., A MIXED PACKAGE--MIGHT BE THE SOLUTION TO
ARMAMENTS REDUCTION. END SUMMARY.

2. IN A DISCUSSION OF MBFR MARCH 9, OLEG SOKOLOV, THE

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 03731 111933Z

OFFICER IN CHARGE OF MULTILATERAL AFFAIRS FOR MFA'S USA

DIVISION, TOLD EMBOFF THAT THE NEW EASTERN PROPOSAL CONTAINS NO REQUIREMENT THAT NON-U.S. DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN MBFR ASSUME CONCRETE, REPEAT CONCRETE, REDUCTION COMMITMENTS DURING FIRST STAGE NEGOTIATIONS. SOKOLOV EMPHASIZED THAT ALL THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY WOULD BE THAT THEY COMMIT THEMSELVES TO THE PRINCIPLE OF REDUCTION IN THE SECOND STAGE, WITHOUT SPECIFYING DETAILED NATURE OF THE REDUCTIONS.

3. IN A CONVERSATION MARCH 5, A.N. KASHIRIN, A COUNSELOR IN MFA'S INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION DIVISION HANDLING ARMS CONTROL QUESTIONS AND A ONE-TIME MEMBER OF THE SOVIET MBFR DELEGATION, ASSERTED THAT UNDER THE NEW PROPOSAL DIRECT PARTICIPANTS OTHER THAN THE SOVIET UNION AND THE U.S. WOULD BE OBLIGATED TO AGREE DURING THE FIRST STAGE TO A FREEZE OF THEIR FORCES--UNDER NATIONAL CEILINGS--LEAVING THE QUESTION OF REDUCTIONS TO BE SETTLED IN SECOND STAGE NEGOTIATIONS.

4. WHEN KASHIRIN AT THE BEGINNING OF OUR CONVERSATION ASKED US FOR OUR REACTION TO THE NEW PROPOSAL, WE HAD ADMITTED THAT IT WAS WORTHY OF STUDY, BUT THAT SOME OF ITS PROVISIONS WERE CLEARLY UNACCEPTABLE--SUCH AS THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE WEST REDUCE AS MANY TANKS AS THE EAST. KASHIRIN COUNTERED WITH THE USUAL ARGUMENTS ABOUT SOVIET REFUSAL TO ALTER THE EXISTING CORRELATION OF FORCES IN THE AREA, BUT IN THE END SUGGESTED THAT A "COMPOSITE APPROACH" (KOMPLEKSNYY PODKHOD) TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE "TOAALITY" OF FORCES IN THE AREA MIGHT ALLOW A SOLUTION TO BE REACHED. WHEN IT BECAME CLEAR THAT WHAT HE HAD IN MIND WAS A MIXED PACKAGE APPROACH, EMBOFF ASKED HIM IF THIS WOULD NOT MAKE EVEN MORE NECESSARY AN EARLY EXCHANGE OF DATA. HE REPLIED ONLY THAT THE TIME WOULD COME WHEN SUCH AN EXCHANGE WOULD BE POSSIBLE. THE CONVERSATION TOUCHED BRIEFLY ON FORCE DEFINITION, WITH HIS ONLY NOTEWORTHY REMARK BEING RESURRECTION OF THE QUESTION OF WHETHER NATO CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES SHOULD NOT SOMEHOW BE CONSIDERED IN ARRIVING AT TOTALS FOR NATO FORCES.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 03731 111933Z

5. COMMENT. WE ARE NOT CLEAR IN WHAT DETAIL THE EASTERN DELEGATIONS IN VIENNA HAVE SPELLED OUT THE REQUIREMENT FOR REDUCTION COMMITMENTS BY NON-U.S. DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN THE FIRST STAGE UNDER THEIR NEW PROPOSALS. BOTH SOKOLOV AND KASHIRIN, HOWEVER, WENT TO SOME TROUBLE IN THEIR CONVERSATIONS WITH US TO EXPLAIN HOW CLOSELY THEIR NEW PROPOSAL PARALLELS THE ORIGINAL NATO PROPOSAL'S PHASING APPROACH IN THIS REGARD. KASHIRIN'S EXPLANATION MASKED

THE REQUIREMENT FOR FIRST-STAGE REDUCTION COMMITMENTS ALMOST TO THE POINT OF DISAPPEARANCE, THOUGH HE DID NOT ASSERT THAT THERE WOULD BE NO SUCH REQUIREMENT. HIS STATEMENT THAT THE FREEZE ON TROOP LEVELS BETWEEN STAGES WOULD BE ON THE BASIS OF NATIONAL CEILINGS, HOWEVER, INDICATES CONTINUING SOVIET OBDURACY ON THAT ISSUE.

STOESSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DISARMAMENT, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, NEGOTIATIONS, PROPOSALS (BID)
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 11 MAR 1976
Decapton Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decapton Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: saccheem
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976MOSCOW03731
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X3
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760093-0756
From: MOSCOW
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760371/aaaackvu.tel
Line Count: 124
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: saccheem
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 APR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2004 by CunninFX>; APPROVED <27 JUL 2004 by saccheem>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MFA OFFICIALS ON MBFR
TAGS: PARM, UR, US, NATO, MBFR
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006